		Application No. Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	rv	10/613,380	LIM ET AL.	
	• •	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Anna Skibinsky	1631	
All Participants: Status of Application:				
(1) <u>Anna Skibinsky, Examiner</u> .		(3) <u>Richard Aron Osman, attorney</u> .		
(2) Lori Clow, Primary Examiner.		(4)		
Date of Interview: 6 November 2007		Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: 112,1st Enablement				
Claims discussed: Claims 1 and 14				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:	,
Part III.			.•	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
•				
				•
			W	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant	/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropri	ate)

Application No. 10/613,380

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner phoned to inquire about an allowance of claim 14 which was free of the art, rejections, and indicated as allowable, in favor of canceling the rejected claims 1, 2, 6 and 8. Attorney declined allowance of claim 14 and suggested narrowing the claims between the rejected claims (1, 2, 6 and 8) and claim 14 and did not wish to dismiss the appeal by canceling all the rejected claims. Since Examiner has maintained the rejection of claims 1, 2, 6 and 8, it was decided to go forward with the appeal.